

# Accessory Navicular Syndrome as an Unusual Cause of Medial Foot Pain: A Case Report

Ghanshyam Jagani<sup>1</sup> , Ruta Karkar<sup>2</sup>, Rushit Karkar<sup>3</sup>

Received on: 23 July 2022; Accepted on: 05 October 2022; Published on: xxxx

## ABSTRACT

**Background:** Accessory navicular bone (ANB) is the second most common accessory bone in the foot, with an incidence of 4–20% in the general population. Due to its particular anatomical location in the foot and the biomechanics of locomotion, this accessory ossicle may initially seem unimportant but can really cause severe pain, morbidity, and even deformity that can lead to flatfeet. Most cases are asymptomatic and can only be an incidental finding on a plain radiograph of the foot. Accessory navicular syndrome (ANS) or os naviculare syndrome is simply a symptomatic accessory navicular and is usually associated with medial foot discomfort and tibialis posterior tendon dysfunction and thus increasing the risk for flatfoot deformity, especially in young people and in women.

**Case description:** A 35-year-old female, a farmer by occupation, presented with a 4-month history of pain and swelling in the medial aspect of her right foot, insidious in onset and progressively increasing, aggravated by prolonged standing and walking and during barefoot activities in the farm, and improved with rest. No prior history of trauma or any inflammatory disease was present. She had been taking nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) but had no relief. Examination of her right foot revealed a mildly depressed medial longitudinal arch as compared to her left foot, and she was unable to stand on the toes of the right foot. Mild swelling and tenderness over the navicular area were noted. Laboratory investigations were normal. A plain radiograph of her right foot showed a type II ANB. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) was done to assess the tibialis posterior tendon integrity, which was intact. Management options and prognosis were explained to the patient. As the patient had already been taking NSAIDs without any relief, she had been given a corticosteroid injection mixed with a local anesthetic drug at the synchondrosis site under an image intensifier in the operating room. Postinjection rest was recommended with cast immobilization for a duration of 4 weeks and subsequently mobilized with a modified shoe with silicon insole and medial arch support. She was advised to modify her activities. Pain improved on subsequent follow-up at 4 weeks with conservative management. She did not complain of any tenderness after 3 months of follow-up. She was then 3 monthly followed up and remained pain-free even after a 12-month follow-up. The patient was left to follow-up after 6 months then.

**Conclusion:** ANS is a rare condition. Orthopedic surgeons should be aware of the presence of accessory ossicles in the feet and bear in mind when a patient with medial foot pain after strenuous activities with or without posterior tibial tendon (PTT) dysfunction or flatfoot, should also be examined and investigated for symptomatic accessory bone, especially type II.

**Clinical significance:** Orthopedics should be aware of ANS as an unusual cause of medial foot pain because of its significant accompanying pathobiomechanical considerations and association with flexible flatfoot. With the knowledge of the condition and by early recognizing and managing the case, the surgeon will be able to resolve discomfort, improve dysfunction, and restore the quality of life of the patient with conservative management. However, a small proportion of cases require surgical intervention.

**Keywords:** Accessory navicular syndrome, Flatfoot, Medial foot pain, Posterior tibial tendon dysfunction, Symptomatic accessory navicular bone.

*Journal of Foot and Ankle Surgery (Asia-Pacific)* (2022): 10.5005/jp-journals-10040-1268

## BACKGROUND

The human body has numerous accessory ossicles as a normal variant of bone development. These developmental variations occur due to the failure of the fusion of secondary ossification centers of the parent bone. ANB, also described as os tibiale externum, os naviculare secundarium, prehallux, Pirie's bone, and talonavicular ossicle is the second most common accessory bone in the foot with an incidence of 4–20% in the general population.<sup>1</sup> Due to its particular anatomical location in the foot and the biomechanics of locomotion, this accessory ossicle may initially seem unimportant but can really cause severe pain, morbidity, and even deformity that can lead to flatfeet. Most cases are asymptomatic and can only be an incidental finding on a plain radiograph of the foot. ANS or os naviculare syndrome is simply a symptomatic accessory navicular<sup>2</sup> and is usually associated with medial foot discomfort and tibialis posterior tendon dysfunction and thus increasing the risk for flatfoot deformity, especially in young people and in women. ANS may be triggered by trauma or

<sup>1</sup>Department of Orthopedics, GMERS Medical College and Hospital, Valsad, Gujarat, India

<sup>2</sup>Department of General Surgery, GMERS Medical College and Hospital, Valsad, Gujarat, India

<sup>3</sup>Department of Emergency and Casualty, SMIMER Medical College and Hospital, Surat, Gujarat, India

**Corresponding Author:** Ghanshyam Jagani, Department of Orthopedics, GMERS Medical College and Hospital, Valsad, Gujarat, India, Phone: +91 9099273363, e-mail: jagani.ghanshyam93@gmail.com

**How to cite this article:** Jagani G, Karkar R, Karkar R. Accessory Navicular Syndrome as an Unusual Cause of Medial Foot Pain: A Case Report. *J Foot Ankle Surg (Asia-Pacific)* 2022;xx(xx):1–3.

**Source of support:** Nil

**Conflict of interest:** None

overuse, particularly in high-impact sports such as basketball or soccer or chronic irritation due to ill-fitting footwear. We report a case of ANS in a 35-year-old female working on a farm.

## CASE DESCRIPTION

A 35-year-old previously healthy female, a farmer by occupation, presented with a 4-month history of pain and swelling in the medial aspect of her right foot, insidious in onset, and progressively increasing. It was aggravated by prolonged standing and walking and during barefoot activities on the farm and improved with rest. No prior history of trauma or any inflammatory disease such as gout or rheumatoid arthritis was present. She had been taking NSAIDs but had no relief. Examination of her right foot revealed a mildly depressed medial longitudinal arch as compared to her left foot, and she was unable to stand on the toes of the right foot. Mild swelling and tenderness over the navicular area were noted. Laboratory investigations of her metabolic and inflammatory profiles were normal. A plain radiograph of her right foot showed a type II ANB (Figs 1A and B). MRI was done to assess the tibialis posterior tendon integrity, which was intact; an ANB and synchondrosis with associated inflammation were confirmed. Management options and prognosis were explained. As the patient had already been taking NSAIDs without any relief, she had been given corticosteroid injections mixed with a local anesthetic drug at the synchondrosis site under an image intensifier in the

operating room. Postinjection rest was recommended with a below-knee boot cast immobilization for a duration of 4 weeks and subsequently mobilized with a modified shoe with silicon insole and medial arch support. She was advised to modify her farm-related activities and not to work on bare feet on the farm. Pain improved on subsequent follow-up at 4 weeks with conservative management. She did not complain of any tenderness after 3 months of follow-up. She was then 3 monthly followed up and remained pain-free even after a 12-month follow-up. The patient was left to follow-up after 6 months then.

## DISCUSSION

The ANB has been presented in 10–14 % of the normal feet, and Bauhin was the first to describe it in 1605.<sup>3</sup> It occurs due to failure of fusion of secondary ossification centers of navicular at the medial tuberosity, which is the site of attachment of tibialis posterior tendon. It can be present bilaterally in 50–90% of cases. Females have a higher prevalence than males.<sup>4</sup> Three distinct types of accessory navicular have been described by Coughlin et al. (Fig. 2).<sup>5</sup>

- Type I (30%): a small, round- or oval-shaped separate ossicle embedded within the PTT.
- Type II (50%): a larger, triangular- or heart-shaped ossicle adjacent to the navicular tuberosity and connected to the native bone by a synchondrosis.
- Type III (20%): also called cornuate or goriloid, or hooked navicular, formed by the fusion of accessory bone with the navicular, causing prominent tuberosity.


Types II and III are commonly associated with pathology, such as PTT dysfunction.<sup>2</sup>

There can be an aberrant, more proximal insertion of PTT onto the accessory bone biomechanically reducing the leverage of the medial malleolus onto PTT, thereby increasing the stress on the tendon. In most cases, a flatfoot deformity is linked to the accessory navicular. This could be accounted for by the fact that PTT supports the medial longitudinal arch of the foot. This support may dampen with the abnormal insertion of PTT, which could flatten the arch. The accessory navicular and pes planus do not, however, appear to have a clear cause-and-effect relationship.

Not all individuals with this accessory bone have symptoms,<sup>6</sup> Most cases are asymptomatic, but it may cause symptoms in



**Figs 1A and B:** Plain radiograph of the right foot anteroposterior (A) and oblique (B) views showing ANB type II



**Fig. 2:** Three distinct types of ANB

a small proportion (<1%). The symptoms appear when the ANB is too large or when a traumatism causes an injury in the fibrous tissue between the navicular and ANBs, leading to a phenomenon similar to a fracture considered to be the cause of the pain. As the PTT attaches to the accessory navicular, it is constantly stretching the bone, causing, with every step, a greater displacement between fragments. The most frequent complaints among symptomatic people are pain and tenderness. Pain is located over the medial aspect of the navicular and is aggravated by weight-bearing, athletic activity, or wearing of ill-fitting shoes.<sup>7</sup> The medial aspect/tuberosity of the navicular, as well as the PTT insertion, may both be tender. Resisted inversion may be painful.<sup>2</sup>

Diagnosis is commonly suggested by medical history and painful sensitivity within the area of the head of the navicular bone. A radiological examination is needed to allow the surgeon to visualize the accessory navicular. No other tests are generally required, but MRI or computerized tomography (CT) can be useful in order to establish the relationship between the accessory navicular and PTT. Any degenerative changes at the synchondrosis in type II accessory navicular may be demonstrated with the help of CT scan.<sup>2</sup> MRI has the highest sensitivity and specificity for the diagnosis, demonstrating both bone marrow edema within the accessory and the native bone; and soft tissue edema as well.<sup>8</sup>

Initial management should always be conservative irrespective of the type of accessory bone. In case of acute pain, apart from activity restriction, NSAIDs can be useful. Modification of footwear to reduce pressure over prominent tuberosity and to provide medial arch support is effective in case of flatfoot.<sup>2,7</sup> Local infiltration of corticosteroids can also be tried but seldom results in complete pain relief.<sup>9</sup> For persistent symptoms, or in cases with acute onset following an injury, a below-knee cast or a short leg-walking cast may be used.<sup>2,9</sup> In cases where conservative measures fail, surgery needs to be considered. Modified Kidner's procedure involving the removal of the accessory bone and advancing the insertion of the PTT to the surface of the navicular from where the accessory was removed is the preferred choice. For type II accessory navicular, percutaneous drilling of the synchondrosis can be performed to bring about and facilitate bone consolidation between the native and accessory bones.<sup>9</sup>

## CONCLUSION

Accessory navicular syndrome is a rare condition. Orthopedic surgeons should be aware of the presence of accessory ossicles in the feet and bear in mind that patients with medial foot pain after strenuous activities with or without PTT dysfunction or flatfoot,

should also be examined and investigated for symptomatic accessory bone, especially type II.

## CLINICAL SIGNIFICANCE

Orthopedics should be aware of ANS as an unusual cause of medial foot pain because of its significant accompanying pathobiomechanical considerations and association with flexible flatfoot. With the knowledge of the condition and by early recognizing and managing the case, the surgeon will be able to resolve discomfort, improve dysfunction, and restore the quality of life of the patient with conservative management. However, a small proportion of cases require surgical intervention.

## INFORMED CONSENT

Written informed consent was obtained from patients who participated in this case.

## ORCID

Ghanshyam Jagani  <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-6958-2737>

## REFERENCES

1. Romanowski CA, Barrington NA. The accessory navicular—an important cause of medial foot pain. *Clin Radiol* 1992;46(4):261–264. DOI: 10.1016/s0009-9260(05)80167-9
2. Silva TW, Duggal NS, Gopalraj RK. A curious case of midfoot pain. *J Fam Med* 2016;3(9):1086. ISSN: 2380-0658.
3. Kidner FC. The prehallux (accessory scaphoid) in its relation to flat-foot. *J Bone Joint Surg Am* 1929;11(4):831–837.
4. Perdikakis E, Grigoraki E, Karantanis A. Os naviculare: the multi-ossicle configuration of a normal variant. *Skeletal Radiol* 2011;40(1):85–88. DOI: 10.1007/s00256-010-0944-y
5. Coughlin MJ, Saltzman CJ, Anderson RB. Sesamoids and accessory bones of the foot. In: Coughlin MJ, Saltzman CL, Anderson RB, editors. *Mann's Surgery of the Foot and Ankle*. 9th ed. Vol 9. Philadelphia: Elsevier Saunders; 2014. pp. 544–551.
6. Kean JR. Foot problems in the adolescent. *Adolesc Med State Art Rev* 2007;18(1):182–191, xi. DOI: 10.1542/9781581104066-foot
7. Choi YS, Lee KT, Kang HS, et al. MR imaging findings of painful type II accessory navicular bone: correlation with surgical and pathologic studies. *Korean J Radiol* 2004;5(4):274–279. DOI: 10.3348/kjr.2004.5.4.274
8. Abourazzak FE, Shimi M, Azzouzi H, et al. An unusual cause of medial foot pain: the cornuate navicular. *Eur J Rheumatol* 2015;2(1):33–34. DOI: 10.5152/eurjrheumatol.2014.14047
9. Gueye AB, Niane M, Kinkpé CVA, et al. Symptomatic accessory navicular bone: a case report. *Int J Orthop Sci* 2019;5(4):806–808. DOI: 10.22271/ortho.2019.v5.i4n.1779